Public Policy 510 Syllabus

The Political Environment of Policy Analysis (Section 2)
Tuesdays & Thursdays, 2:30-4pm
Fall 2014

Prof. Shobita Parthasarathy
4202 Weill Hall Ph: 764-8075 E-mail: shobita@umich.edu
Office Hours: Thursday, 11:30am-1:30pm or by appointment

GSI: Conor McKay
3202 Weill Hall E-mail: cjmckay@umich.edu
Office Hours: 3-5pm Mondays or by appointment

This course uses a cross-national approach to help students understand the dynamics of the political environment, and to develop strategies to engage with it. Students will learn how to be effective participants in public policymaking, as analysts, administrators, and advocates, by gaining tools to help them assess a political environment, recognize the unique challenges that it imposes, and explore what motivates and constrains the various actors in it. Students will learn these skills in comparative perspective, with exposure to a variety of domestic policymaking environments in the United States, Europe, Asia, and elsewhere. Thus, students will also learn about how to use comparison as a policy analysis tool. A comparative perspective to understanding the political environment offers the following benefits: 1) It clarifies analytical tools, by showing how they work (or don’t work) in different contexts; 2) It offers alternative approaches and models for politics and policymaking; 3) It brings the factors unique to the target political environment into sharp relief, providing clues to the more permanent aspects of a particular society; and 4) It helps the analyst understand how the target political environment fits in the global arena. In this course, we will explore a number of case studies in comparative perspective, including debates about immigration, public health, genetically modified organisms, dam-building, and energy. With each of these countries and case studies, we explore and compare the various actors in the political environment: governments, interest groups, social movements, advisory committees, and the corporate sector. This course is required for Ford School students and for the STPP Program (For more information on the STPP Program, please see: http://stpp.fordschool.umich.edu).

Overall, the course trains students:
- To think critically about the process of policymaking
- To identify and map the interests, stakeholders, institutions, and political cultures that surround any issue, particularly in different domestic policy environments, and to understand how this;
- To assess the factors of a political environment relevant to policy advocacy and engagement.
- To anticipate the issues and challenges that may arise when they are engaged in the policymaking process in different domestic political environments;
- To recognize and improve their written and oral communication skills;
- To work in teams; and
- To understand the policymaking environments of countries in Europe, Asia, and Africa, in comparative perspective with the United States.
Requirements for this course include careful reading of assignments before class sessions, mandatory attendance and participation in class, multiple writing assignments of varying lengths and styles, group writing assignments, an oral presentation, and multiple opportunities for self and group reflection and assessment. Grading and information about the assignments is provided in the Assignment Guide for the course. Please read it VERY carefully (and continue to refer to it over the course of the semester.) You will need to become familiar with the CTools site: http://ctools.umich.edu. All assignments must be submitted via CTools, in the “Assignments” section. Many of the course readings will be posted in the CTools “Resources” section.

Office Hours: To sign up for office hours, please use the following link: https://www.google.com/calendar/selfsched?sstoken=UURPNGg4eDd1MnEyiGRIZmF1bHR8NmFwMWM4Y2M1YTE2YTBiM2U5YmUxMjU3MmViZmE3NGI

Course policies:
Plagiarism: Plagiarism will be harshly penalized. For more information on what constitutes sourcing, see UM’s plagiarism handout: http://www.lib.umich.edu/handouts/plagiar.pdf. For all papers, I expect proper sourcing and citation. I do not care which method (e.g., APA, MLA, etc.) you use, so long as you are consistent through the paper. Also, when citing a source over the course of multiple sentences, cite after the first sentence. In addition, do not use Wikipedia as a direct source. It is anonymously produced, with un-vetted contributors from all over the world, so the information you find there should never be automatically trusted as legitimate. That said, I understand that Wikipedia can be extremely useful to introduce you to a particular topic. My suggestion is that you use it to learn the basics about a particular subject, and then follow the links provided there (or the insights you gain) to find a more credible source.

Laptops: I will permit the use of laptops (and other electronic devices) in the classroom, on an honor system. Electronic devices can be helpful for easy access to the readings and note-taking, but they should be used only for PubPol 510-related activities. If Conor or I discover anyone doing non-510-related activities on the laptop during classtime, then that person will get a zero for class participation for that day. I reserve the right to ban laptops in the classroom, but I hope I won’t have to!

Participation: As you will note from the Assignment Guide, active participation (not simply attendance) is an important part of this course. It gives you an opportunity to actively engage with course material and with your classmates. It is also required, in order to receive a good grade in the course. Active participation involves: 1) coming to all classes; 2) participating at least once per class; 3) making valuable contributions based on ongoing classroom discussion, the lectures, and readings; and 4) knowing when you’ve been dominating the conversation and pulling back. We’ll be discussing some sensitive topics in class, so please be respectful in your contributions and aware of the variety of perspectives on all issues. In addition, in order to active participation, I reserve the right to “cold call” students (i.e., call on students who have not raised their hand to participate). That said, in order to receive a good participation grade, you will have to both volunteer to participate and respond well to cold calls.
You are also encouraged to participate in discussion electronically although this does not replace in-class discussion. We’ve set up a Twitter account (@FordSchool510SP) for the course, designed to foster discussion. Feel free to post your comments on the readings and ask questions. Conor and I will monitor the account and respond to tweets as appropriate, and we hope that all of you do too! (You are welcome to create separate FSPP or 510 Twitter accounts for this purpose.)

If you anticipate that you might have trouble participating in class, please come and speak with me. I will be happy to give you strategies to increase and improve your participation.

**Grades:** There are multiple assignments in the course, which means that at any given time, there are many moving parts. It is tempting to think that the first few papers are inconsequential, because they seem to be worth relatively little in the grand scheme of the course. However, if you find yourself doing poorly on the short papers, this is a worrisome sign of your comprehension of course concepts and development in writing skills—and foreshadows your performance in the more heavily-weighted assignments due later in the semester. If you are performing poorly on the first papers (e.g., consistently scoring below the mean), please speak with Conor or I immediately, to see how you can improve your performance. The longer you wait, the more difficult it will become to improve your grade.

**Assignment/Grade Breakdown** (more information is available in the Assignment Guide):
- Class participation (including politics reflection and reading responses): 15%
- Diagnostic Memo: 5%
- Stakeholder Memo: 10%
- Research Memo: 15%
- Group Comparative Political Environment Assessment: 10%
- Strategy memo: 20%
- Roundtable oral presentation: 10%
- Initial writing self-assessment and final self-critique: 10%
- Peer questions and critiques: 5%

**Syllabus:** While the syllabus is fairly stable (especially for the first few weeks), I reserve the right to make slight changes to it. I do not expect, however, the themes, assignments, or even the readings to change significantly. If I do make even a slight alteration, I will tell you at least a week in advance.
Class and Assignment Schedule

Tues., Sept. 2:  Introduction to the Course

I. Introduction to Comparative Politics

Thurs., Sept. 4:  American Politics in Comparative Perspective
When reading, consider and prepare responses to the following questions:
- Who were the major players in the health care and climate change debates (include institutions, decisionmakers, experts, and stakeholders)
- What were the major issues of controversy/negotiation in the two debates?
- What role does politics play in the policy process?
- Also, read the Assignment Guide for 510 and come prepared with questions and requests for clarification.


Tues., Sept. 9:  The Nuts and Bolts of the Political Process
- What is unique about the political process in the US context? What are the roles of the different branches of government? And what are the implications of its status as a “common law” system?
- What does comparing the two US debates tell you about the politics of policymaking in this country?


Politics Reflection Due Wednesday, September 10th, noon!

Thurs., Sept.11:  Political Institutions in Comparative Perspective
- What are the similarities and differences among the political structures (institutions and laws) among the countries discussed in the two articles?
- Can you identify political institutions that seem similar on the surface across countries, but actually behave quite differently (e.g., legislatures, courts)? How are they different? Why?
- Are there cultural differences that might be important in understanding the behavior of these institutions?


Tues., Sept. 16: Issue Framing in Comparative Perspective

- What is issue framing? Who frames an issue? How does issue framing shape its political outcome?
- How does historical, national, or other aspects of national cultural context shape how an issue is framed?

**Diagnostic Memo Due before class!**


Thurs., Sept. 18: Identity, Diversity, and Politics

- How does an individual’s background shape how they see and understand the world, including their approaches to politics?
- What external factors, including an individual’s community and environment, shape how individuals approach politics?
- How can policymakers pay attention to these different—but all rational—perspectives and still make uniform policies?


II. What is a Stakeholder?

Tues., Sept. 23: Understanding Stakeholders

- Find an example of a stakeholder that operates at the national level in any country (a group that you think is either an interest group or part of a social movement). Using the readings, assess whether this organization seems more like an interest group or a social movement. Why do you think this?
- What is the organization’s history and mission? How does the organization you have chosen try to influence policymaking (what arguments and tactics does it use)?
- On what basis does it try to convince people (including the government) to support it?


Thurs., Sept. 25: Stakeholders and Political Strategy
What strategies have patient and health advocacy groups used in the US? Which were more and less successful? Why?

How might the strategies of these groups be shaped by their US context, the historical moment, and the issue that they work on? (And how might their strategies change in a different context?)

How might you develop the strategy of a stakeholder you support based on the readings for today?


**Group Stakeholder Proposal Due Friday September 26th, noon!**

**Roundtable Group Meetings during the week of September 29th!**

**Tues., Sept. 30:** Understanding Writing for a Policy Audience
Guest Speakers: Ford School Writing Instructors

Reading TBD.

**Thurs., Oct. 2:** Stakeholders and Networks

- In what ways do social networks shape politics?
- How might the nature of social networks differ depending on the kinds of groups and issues involved, and geographic location?
- How might online social networks differ from those that are developed in person or in particular communities?


**Tues., Oct. 7:** Stakeholders and Framing

**Writing Self-Assessment Due Wednesday, October 8th, noon!**

- How do stakeholders invoke specific frames in their political advocacy? What tactics do they use?
- How do they try to ensure that their framing becomes the dominant approach to solving the social/policy problem?
• What makes a particular frame more or less successful in a policy debate? (Can you think of examples of competing frames used by stakeholders in other policy debates?)


**Thurs., Oct. 9:** Stakeholders and Political Advocacy in China

• How does the Chinese political environment shape political advocacy in the country (think about arguments and tactics)?
• How does the scope and style of political advocacy in China compare to other countries that you know about?


**Tues., Oct. 14:** Fall study break!

**Thurs., Oct. 16:** Stakeholders and the Politics of Expertise

• What factors shape how policy domains identify and define relevant knowledge and expertise?
  o And how do policy domains maintain these definitions even when challenged?
• What strategies to stakeholders use to challenge definitions of relevant knowledge and expertise in a policy domain?
• How might calls for “evidence-based” policymaking be political in and of themselves?


**Friday, October 17th, noon:** Stakeholder Memo Due!

### III. The Politics of Bureaucracies

**Tues., Oct. 21:** Understanding the Bureaucracy

• What is the function of the bureaucracy in democratic contexts? (And in non-democratic contexts?)
• How does national context shape bureaucratic decisionmaking?
• How does the role of, and attitudes toward, civil service compare across countries?


**Thurs., Oct. 23:** The Comparative Politics of Economics Expertise

• Why did the US and France adopt different approaches to economic valuation?
• What were the consequences of the US and French differences?
• What are the costs and benefits of using economics knowledge and expertise to value nature?


Tues., Oct. 28: Reflecting on How National Context Shapes Policymaking
• How do US, German, and British approaches to biotechnology differ?
• Why have these countries adopted such different approaches?
• What does Jasanoff’s analysis tell us about the national structural and cultural factors that shape politics and policy?
• What uniquely national factors do you think have shaped the political debate on your roundtable topic?


IV. Outsider Pressure and Unconventional Politics

Thurs., Oct. 30: Narmada: A Valley Rises
• What tactics did opponents of the Narmada Dam use to challenge the government? And what tactics did dam proponents (including the government) use?
• To whom were these tactics directed? Which were successful, and which were not?
• Why did these players choose these tactics?


Research Memo Due on Friday October 31st, noon

Tues., Nov. 4: Comparative Cultures of Mobilization
• In what ways were the Narmada Dam protests uniquely Indian?
• How does the Indian environmental movement compare to its counterparts in other countries, which we’ve read about this term or which you know about personally?
• What factors might shape whether these tactics would work in environmental politics other national contexts?


Thurs., Nov. 6: Comparative Political Strategy at the Court
  • How do stakeholders use the courts in order to conduct political/policy advocacy?
  • How might legal standing rules affect political advocacy in different countries?


Tuesday, Nov. 11: Transnational political engagement
  • How do transnational political networks work?
  • In what ways do transnational political networks help stakeholders at the national level? In what ways might it hurt stakeholders working at the national level?
  • What kinds of stakeholders, in what contexts, might benefit most from a transnational political network?

Das, Maitreyi (1992). “The Internationalization of the Narmada Dam: Do Western Environmental Groups Have a Role in Third World Ecology Movements?”


V. Roundtables

Thursday, Nov. 13: Roundtable #1

Tuesday, Nov. 18: Roundtable #2

Thursday, Nov. 20: Roundtable #3

Tuesday, Nov. 25: Roundtable #4

Thursday, Nov. 27: THANKSGIVING BREAK

Tuesday, Dec. 2: Roundtable #5

Thursday, Dec. 4: Roundtable #6

Tuesday, Dec. 9: Semester wrap-up; Considering Comparative Politics

** Roundtable Self/Group Critique due Wednesday, December 10th at noon on CTools **

** Strategy Memo due Tuesday, December 16th at 10am on CTools **